

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>93</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>89.45</div> %	<div>96.49</div> %	<div>82</div>	<div>96.48</div> %	<div>8</div>	<div>7</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>67.20</div> %	<div>88.28</div> %	<div>91</div>	<div>89.00</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>2</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>8</div>	<div>5.83</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>4.81</div>	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>43.82</div> %	<div>77.63</div> %	<div>80</div>	<div>83.25</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>3</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>34.43</div> %	<div>70.30</div> %	<div>82</div>	<div>70.99</div> %	<div>7</div>	<div>5</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>89.39</div> %	<div>81.96</div> %	<div>27</div>	<div>81.75</div> %	<div>62</div>	<div>67</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>1.52</div> %	<div>41.30</div> %	<div>89</div>	<div>45.84</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>0</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>96.50</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>96.12</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>85</div> / <div>93</div>		Nationally: <div>9</div> <div>7</div>		
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$15,482</div>	<div>\$36,975</div>		<div>\$27,889</div>	<div>66</div> Units	<div>45.20</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$21,536</div>		<div>\$15,632</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$30,710</div>	<div>\$27,502</div>		<div>\$21,037</div>	<div>80</div> Units	<div>54.80</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$2,232</div>		<div>\$3,206</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***. This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Whittier CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$139,303	\$0	\$30,710
State:*	\$141,290	\$116,319	\$28,818
National:**	\$99,461	\$78,568	\$23,907

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.04

RACE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
White:	51.5	0.0	47.5	0.0
Black/African American:	1.5	0.0	1.3	0.0
Asian:	1.5	0.0	1.3	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

ETHNICITY:

Hispanic	45.5	0.0	50.0	0.0
----------	--	---	--	---

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

1 Person:	74.2	0.0	46.3	0.0
2 Persons:	15.2	0.0	26.3	0.0
3 Persons:	6.1	0.0	7.5	0.0
4 Persons:	3.0	0.0	11.3	0.0
5 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 Persons:	1.5	0.0	7.5	0.0
7 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8 or more Persons:	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

Single/Non-Elderly:	4.5	0.0	16.3	0.0
Elderly:	75.8	0.0	48.8	0.0
Related/Single Parent:	10.6	0.0	11.3	0.0
Related/Two Parent:	7.6	0.0	21.3	0.0
Other:	1.5	0.0	2.5	0.0

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

Section 8:	0.0	0.0 [#]
HOME TBRA:	0.0	
Other:	4.5	
No Assistance:	95.5	

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 3

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state




** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Whittier State: CA Group Rank: 9
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 85 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 7
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 3 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 77.87%	43.82	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 53.90%	34.43	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	89.39	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 92.09%	100	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.415	4.13	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

